



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,941	03/09/2004	John C.W. Ngan	2558	4474
28005 75	90 02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
SPRINT	ADVIVAV		ZEWARI,	SAYED T
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ARK, KS 66251-2100		2687	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Sayed T. Zewari - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Execution of time may be available under the provious of 37 CRT 13-186, in no event, lowers, may a reply be miley fled # NO period for regly is specified above, the maintains studiory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, 1 Failute to represent the majoritament. Set 37 CRT 17-180. ## This period for regly is specified above, the maintains studiory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, 1 Failute to represent the majoritament. Set 37 CRT 17-180. ## This period for regly is specified above, the maintains studiory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, even if timely liked, may reduce any senter please them adjustment. Set 37 CRT 17-180. ## This action is FINAL. ## This action is final the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ## Disposition of Claims ## Claim(s) 1-10 Is/are pending in the application. ## Application of United States and India Sta		10/796,941	NGAN, JOHN C.W.			
Previol for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for the map be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In an event, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (s) MONTHS from the railing date of this communications of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In an event, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (s) MONTHS from the railing date of this communication. Failube to reply whilin the set or contended period for reply will by state to the became AshAnDHO Clot 34 U.S. (5 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there monits after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any sented period for reply will be past or contended period for reyly will by state to the December AshAnDHO Clot 34 U.S. (5 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there monits after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any sented period for reply within the set of the communication. **Property This action is FINAL 2.0 This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4 Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4 Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 Application Papers 10 Application Papers 11 Application Papers 12 Acknowledgme	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of time may be evallable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s). In or wereful nowever, may a reply be timely fixed after 3K; 0) MONTHS from the mailing date and main and the 7K; 0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Falue to reply within the set or extended priod for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (33 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office late than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fixed, may reduce any examined patient turn adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) M Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/3/06. 2a) M This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) M Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a lacepeted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) So		·				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edimains of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360. In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MOTITS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for raply is specified above, the mainum statutory prints. On the provision of the provision						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/3/06. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * ○] None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6() Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to estriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	vn from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the following (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Compared to the proper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			

Art Unit: 2687

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on January 3, 2006.

Claims 1-10 are still pending in the present application. This Action is made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knauerhase et al (US 6,941,146) in view of Girard et al (US 2002/0,132,635).

With respect to claim 1, Knauerhase discloses a wireless telephone with selectable transmission modes for a call from said wireless telephone to a remotely located receiver (see Abstract line 1-4, col. 2, lines 9-22 and col. 3, lines 19-43, and col. 7 lines 25-26, where Knauerhase indicates presence of multiple transceivers, thus multiple modes, and the selectability of the modes). Knauerhase discloses said selectable transmission modes comprising a first wireless communication mode and a second wireless communication mode (See col. 3 Lines 19-42, where Knauerhase is discussing two transceivers and two protocols thus two modes which are selectable). Knauerhase discloses said telephone (figure 1(110))

Art Unit: 2687

, tpp://da.doi.j.co.i.doi.j.co.i.doi.j.co.j

comprising: a user interface for user input of a dialing string for initiation of said call (See col. 2 lines 9-56, where Knauerhase discusses a mobile phone that performs connectivity and shows a dial pad). Knauerhase discloses a first transceiver for communication in accordance with said first communication mode; a second transceiver for communication in accordance with said second communication mode (col. 3 lines 19-43). Knauerhase discloses a memory storing software comprising a set of instruction for responsively selecting said first transceiver or said second transceiver for said call depending on connectivity options available to the phone (See col. 2 lines 11-22, where Knauerhase discloses the cell phone having memory, col. 3 lines 19-65, where Knauerhase discloses multiple transceivers and the method by which the software stored in the memory of the cell phone selects a transceiver, figure 7, col. 5 lines 34-39, where Knauerhase discloses the software stored in the memory of the cell phone make operational decision, col. 6 line 10-30). Knauerhase discloses software that selects a first transceiver or a second transceiver for the mode of communication, however does not specifically disclose selecting said first transceiver or said second transceiver for said call depending on the contents of said dialing string. But Girard teaches selecting said first transceiver or said second transceiver for said call depending on the contents of said dialing string (See section [0010], [0018]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Knauerhase and have said first transceiver or said second transceiver for said call depending on the contents of said dialing string, as

Art Unit: 2687

thought by Girard, thus making the device easier to use, as discussed by **Girard** ([0005]).

With respect to claim 6, Knauerhase discloses a method of selecting a transmission mode for a call between said wireless telephone and a remotely located receiver (see Abstract line 1-4, col. 2, lines 9-22 and col. 3, lines 19-43, and col. 7 lines 25-26, where Knauerhase indicates presence of multiple transceivers, thus multiple modes, and the selectability of the modes). Knauerhase discloses said wireless telephone having a first transceiver for communication in accordance with a first communication mode and a second transceiver for communication in accordance with a second communication mode (See col. 3 Lines 19-42, where Knauerhase is discussing two transceivers and two protocols thus two modes). Knauerhase discloses the said first a cellular telephony mode and said second communication mode being a local, free, non-cellular wireless communication mode (See col. 1 lines 14-44, col. 3 lines 44-64, col. 5 lines 10-20, where Knauerhase discusses cost of service and hot spots known to be free). Knauerhase inherently discloses receiving a dialing string from a user of the telephone for initiation of said call (See col. 2 lines 1-22, where Knauerhase discusses a cellular phone and connectivity). Knauerhase discloses establishing a communications session in accordance with said second communication mode between said wireless telephone and said receiver (See col.2) lines 9-22). Knauerhase discloses software that selects a first transceiver or a second transceiver for the mode of communication, however does not specifically discloses detecting attributes of said dialing string indicating that the user intends the call to be

Art Unit: 2687

sent in accordance with said second transmission mode; obtaining, either directly or indirectly, from said dialing string an identity of the receiver in accordance with said second communication mode. But Girard teaches detecting attributes of said dialing string indicating that the user intends the call to be sent in accordance with said second transmission mode; obtaining, either directly or indirectly, from said dialing string an identity of the receiver in accordance with said second communication mode (See section [0010], [0018]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Knauerhase, and detect attributes of said dialing string indicating that the user intends the call to be sent in accordance with said second transmission mode; obtaining, either directly or indirectly, from said dialing string an identity of the receiver in accordance with said second communication mode, as thought by Girard, thus making the device easier to use, as discussed by Girard ([0005]).

With respect to claim 2 and 7, Knauerhase discloses a remote transceiver device comprising of many different transceivers including Bluetooth family transceivers, therefore Knauerhase inherently discloses said remotely located receiver comprises a Bluetooth enabled device and wherein said second transceiver comprises a Bluetooth transceiver (See figure 3, col.3 lines 25-43, where Knauerhase discusses the first transceiver can be Bluetooth, therefore the remote second device can be Bluetooth as well).

With respect to claim 3 and 8, Knauerhase discloses an apparatus wherein said first transceiver comprises a transceiver compliant with an IEEE 802.11 standard (See

Art Unit: 2687

figure 3(304), col.3 lines 25-43, where Knauerhase discusses the first transceiver can be Bluetooth or IEEE 802.11, therefore the remote second device can be Bluetooth or IEEE 802.11 standard).

With respect to claim 5, Knauerhase modified by Girard discloses that his mobile device contains memory which is used to store the alias records. This alias record includes an alphanumeric field, and a first calling number corresponding with a first mode of communication and a second number corresponding with the second mode of communication (See Girard [0012], figure 2).

With respect to claim 10, Girard discloses that his mobile device contains memory which is used to store the alias records. This alias record includes an alphanumeric field, and a first calling number corresponding with a first mode of communication and a second number corresponding with the second mode of communication (See Girard [0012], figure 2).

Claim 4 & 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knauerhase in view of Girard as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Malackowski et al.(6,411,803)

With respect to claim 4 & 9, Knauerhase and Girard do not specifically disclose said dialing string comprises a sequence of alphanumeric characters, and either # or * preceding or following said alphanumeric characters. But Malackowski teaches said dialing string comprises a sequence of alphanumeric characters, and either # or * preceding or following said alphanumeric characters (See col. 14 lines 40-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2687

to modify the invention of Knauerhase and Girard, and have said dialing string comprises a sequence of alphanumeric characters, and either # or * preceding or following said alphanumeric characters, as discussed by Malackowski, thereby using a standard method of indicating of desired access to a special system, as discussed by Malackowski (col.1 lines 10-30).

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on 1/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues against a rejection that was previously applied in the earlier office action rejection. The arguments are not convincing and the examiner can maintain the previous rejection. The applicant argues, "The user indicates which transceiver they wish to use (e.g., Bluetooth or CDMA) depending on a dialing string they enter." Girard et al. also discloses how the user indicates which transceiver they wish to use depending on a dialing string they enter (See Girard section [0010], where Girard discusses eliminating the need for a mode button and providing the device with decision making ability to determine what mode should be used based on the format of the calling number. Also see section [0018], where Girard discusses entering a string or a number. In this case the mobile station presents the user with a soft menu of air interfaces to select from. But to those skilled in the art, it would be obvious that this step could very well be avoided or any other action can easily be performed based on the string alone. Therefore, whether there is an extra step or not does not matter because it is already evident that the

Art Unit: 2687

act of selection by use of a character is already invented and is not a novel idea anymore).

Applicant argues that "the wireless telephone includes a memory storing software comprising a set of instructions for responsively selecting said first transceiver or said second transceiver for said call depending on the contents of said dialing string." Knauerhase et al. and Malackowski el al. disclose the above limitations. Knauerhase discloses a memory storing software comprising a set of instruction for responsively selecting said first transceiver or said second transceiver for said call depending on connectivity options available to the phone (See col. 2 lines 11-22, where Knauerhase discloses the cell phone having memory, col. 3 lines 19-65, where Knauerhase discloses multiple transceivers and the method by which the software stored in the memory of the cell phone selects a transceiver, figure 7, col. 5 lines 34-39, where Knauerhase discloses the software stored in the memory of the cell phone make operational decision, col. 6 line 10-30). And Malackowski discloses a method of selection based on character (See col.14 lines 41-44, where this method can be applied to select a service or a particular transceiver from amongst different transceivers).

Applicant argues, "The reference does not specify how the transceiver is selected" and "it does not indicate that a dialing string is used to select the transceiver." Knauerhase et al. and Malackowski el al. disclose the above limitations.

Knauerhase discloses a memory storing software comprising a set of instruction for responsively selecting said first transceiver or said second transceiver for said call

Art Unit: 2687

depending on connectivity options available to the phone (See col. 2 lines 11-22, where Knauerhase discloses the cell phone having memory, col. 3 lines 19-65, where Knauerhase discloses multiple transceivers and the method by which the software stored in the memory of the cell phone selects a transceiver, figure 7, col. 5 lines 34-39, where Knauerhase discloses the software stored in the memory of the cell phone make operational decision, col. 6 line 10-30). And Malackowski discloses a method of selection based on character (See col.14 lines 41-44, where this method can be applied to select a service or a particular transceiver from amongst different transceivers). And Malackowski discloses a method of selection based on character (See col.14 lines 41-44, where this method can be applied to select a service or a particular transceiver from amongst different transceivers).

Applicant argues, "but the selection of the transmission mode is not achieved through the use of a dialing string as claimed. Rather it is via pressing of hard keys (buttons) on the phone, not by means of a dialing string." Girard et al. discloses that the communication mode in a mobile station is selected by selecting an alias record from the memory or entering or a string (See Girard section [0013], figure 3(304, where it indicated selecting alias or a number)).

Applicant argues, "The entry of the alias (dialing string) is a separate act from selection of the transmission mode". Girard discloses this limitation (See Girard section [0010], where Girard discusses eliminating the need for a mode button and providing the device with decision making ability to determine what mode should be used based on the format of the calling number. Also see section

Art Unit: 2687

[0018], where Girard discusses entering a string or a number. In this case the mobile station presents the user with a soft menu of air interfaces to select from. But to those skilled in the art, it would be obvious that this step could very well be avoided or any other action can easily be performed based on the string alone. Therefore, whether there is an extra step or not does not matter because it is already evident that the act of selection by use of a character is already invented and is not a novel idea anymore).

Applicant argues, "Knauerhase et al. is silent on the user of dialing strings as a method by which a user operating a wireless telephone can select a particular transceiver. Girard et al. does not teach or suggest that one should use a dialing string to select a transceiver. Rather, Girard makes the user go to the extra step of pressing the send button 110, PPT button 108 or one of the soft keys 104 or 106 to activate a particular transmission mode." The above combinations of Knauerhase et al. and Girard et al. disclose the limitation for the above arguments (See Girard section [0010], where Girard discusses eliminating the need for a mode button and providing the device with decision making ability to determine what mode should be used based on the format of the calling number. Also see section [0018], where Girard discusses entering a string or a number. In this case the mobile station presents the user with a soft menu of air interfaces to select from. But to those skilled in the art, it would be obvious that this step could very well be avoided or any other action can easily be performed based on the string alone. Therefore, whether there is an extra step or not does not matter because it is

already evident that the act of selection by use of a character is already invented and is not a novel idea anymore).

Applicant argues, "Malackowski et al.'s wireless device uses conventional cellular telephone to communicate with a wireless network", and "The user of the Malackowski et al. system does not make a selection of which transceiver mode to use by means of a dialing string". These two limitations are discloses by Knauerhase and Girard. Applicant further argues, "The access codes of Malackowski et al.(e.g. #500) are used to identify a particular advertiser". Malackowski et al. discloses the limitations of dialing using a string. This reference is intended to show that dialing a number with a character in front of the number, in order to perform a task, is already invented and is not a novel idea.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2687

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sayed T. Zewari whose telephone number is 571-272-6851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2687

Sayed T. Zewari

February 13 2006

NICK CORSARO PRIMARY EXAMINER